arXiv:1205.2676v1 [math.AG] 11 May 2012

ON THE LOGARITHMIC CONNECTIONS OVER CURVES

INDRANIL BISWAS AND VIKTORIA HEU

Abstract. We study two different actions on the moduli spaces of logarithmic connections over smooth complex projective curves. Firstly, we establish a dictionary between logarithmic orbifold connections and parabolic logarithmic connections over the quotient curve. Secondly, we prove that fixed points on the moduli space of connections under the action of finite order line bundles are exactly the push-forward of logarithmic connections on a certain unramified Galois cover of the base curve. In the coprime case, this action of finite order line bundles on the moduli space is cohomologically trivial.

1. Introduction

There is a well-established dictionary between the orbifold vector bundles, *i.e.,* holomorphic vector bundles endowed with an action of a finite subgroup Γ of automorphisms of a smooth complex projective base curve Y, and the parabolic vector bundles over the quotient curve $X = Y/\Gamma$. In the first part of this paper, we generalize this to a dictionary between the orbifold vector bundles $E \longrightarrow Y$ endowed with a Γ–equivariant logarithmic connection and the vector bundles $V \longrightarrow X$ endowed with a parabolic logarithmic connection D'. The Γ–equivariance property of D means that the action of Γ on locally defined sections preserves the property of being flat with respect to D. By parabolic logarithmic connection we mean that

- the parabolic structure on V induced by D' coincides with the parabolic structure on V induced by the orbifold vector bundle E, and
- the residue splits the quasiparabolic filtration.

We establish the following theorem in Section 2.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Y be a compact connected Riemann surface. Let Γ be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Y)$. Let S_Y be a finite subset of Y such that Γ acts freely on S_Y. Let (E, D) be a logarithmic connection on Y, with singular set included in S_Y, equipped with a lift of the tautological action of Γ. Let ϕ be the quotient map $Y \longrightarrow X := Y/\Gamma$. Then the push-forward connection $\phi_* D$ induces a logarithmic connection D' on the Γ-invariant subvector bundle $V = (\phi_* E)^\Gamma$ of $\phi_* E$. This connection preserves the natural quasiparabolic filtration*

$$V_x = V_x^0 \supset V_x^1 \supset V_x^2 \subset \ldots \supset V_x^{\ell_x} \supset V_x^{\ell_x+1} = 0$$

for each ramification point $x \in X$ of the map ϕ, and the parabolic weights associated to this filtration coincide with the eigenvalues of the endomorphism of V_x^i/V_x^{i+1} induced by

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H60, 14F05.

Key words and phrases. Logarithmic connection, residue, moduli space, Higgs bundle.

the residue of the connection. Furthermore, the residue of the logarithmic connection D' *at any parabolic point splits completely the quasiparabolic filtration.*

In Section 2.2, we carry out a reverse construction from parabolic connections on X to Γ–equivariant connections on a certain cover $Y \longrightarrow X$ with $X = Y/\Gamma$.

This work has been motivated by the fact that another result about certain group actions on the moduli space of semi-stable vector bundles can be generalized to the corresponding action on the moduli space of logarithmic connections. To explain this, consider the action by tensor product of the holomorphic line bundle L of order n on the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r,\xi)$ of semi-stable vector bundles of rank $r \in n\mathbb{N}$ with determinant line bundle ξ over a Riemann surface X of genus at least 2. The fixed points of this action are exactly the vector bundles that arise as the push-forward $(\phi_L)_*\eta$ of a line bundle η over Y_L such that $\det((\phi_L)_*\eta) = \xi$, where $\phi_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$ is the unramified n-cover defined by L [BP] (see Section 3.1 for the details). For vector bundles endowed with logarithmic connections, we prove the following theorem in Section 3.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let* L *be a line bundle of order* n *over a compact connected Riemann surface* X*, and let* $\phi_L : Y_L \longrightarrow X$ *be the unramified cover of degree* n *given by* L*. Let* r *be a multiple of* n*, and let* D_L *be the unique (holomorphic) connection on* L *that induces the trivial connection on* $L^{\otimes n}$*. Let* (E,D) *be a logarithmic connection on* X*. There is an isomorphism of connections* $(E\,,D) \simeq (E\otimes L\,,D\otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E\otimes D_L)$ *if and only if* (E,D) *coincides with the push-forward* $(\phi_{L*}V, \phi_{L*}D_V)$ *of a logarithmic connection* (V,D_V) *of rank* r/n *on* Y_L*.*

Theorem 1.2 classifies the fixed points under the action by tensor product with finite order line bundles on certain moduli spaces of (holomorphic or) logarithmic connections. Moreover, this action is cohomologically trivial in a sense that will be explained below.

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus at least two. Fix a line bundle ξ of degree d over X and a logarithmic connection D_ξ on ξ singular exactly over $x_0 \in X$. Let $r \geq 2$ be an integer coprime to d. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(r,D_\xi)$ the (smooth) moduli space of logarithmic connections of rank r over X that are singular exactly over x_0 with residue $-\frac{d}{r}\cdot\mathrm{Id}$ such that the induced connection on the determinant line bundle coincides with (ξ, D_ξ). Let L be a line bundle of order $n\,|\,r$ over X. In Section 3.2, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *The homomorphism*

$$\Phi^* : \quad \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}(r,D_\xi),\mathbb{Q}) \quad\longrightarrow\quad \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}(r,D_\xi),\mathbb{Q})$$

induced by the automorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Phi : & \mathcal{M}(r,D_\xi) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}(r,D_\xi) \\ & (E,D) & \longmapsto & (E\otimes L, D\otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E\otimes D_L), \end{array}$$

is the identity map.

Finally, in Section 4 we generalize the Atiyah–Weil criterion for the existence of a holomorphic connection on a given vector bundle $E \longrightarrow X$ into a criterion for the existence of a logarithmic connection on E with prescribed central residues.

2. Equivariant connections and parabolic connections

2.1. **Parabolic connections from equivariant connections.** Let Y be a compact connected Riemann surface. The group of all holomorphic automorphisms of Y will be denoted by $\text{Aut}(Y)$. Let

$$\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(Y) \tag{2.1}$$

be a finite subgroup. A Γ–*equivariant* holomorphic vector bundle on Y is a holomorphic vector bundle $E \longrightarrow Y$ equipped with a lift of the tautological action of Γ on Y. More precisely, a Γ–equivariant holomorphic vector bundle on Y is a pair of the form $(E\,,\rho)$, where $E \longrightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic vector bundle, and ρ is an action of Γ on the total space of E such that for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the map

$$\rho(\gamma) \,:\, E \longrightarrow E$$

is a holomorphic isomorphism of the vector bundle E with the pullback $(\gamma^{-1})^*E$. Clearly, this condition implies that the action ρ is holomorphic.

Fix a reduced effective divisor S_Y on Y such that

1. the tautological action of Γ on Y preserves S_Y, meaning $\Gamma(S_Y) \subset S_Y$, and
2. the action of Γ on S_Y is free.

The holomorphic cotangent bundle of Y will be denoted by K_Y. Since $\gamma(S_Y) = S_Y$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the tautological action of Γ on X lifts naturally to the total space of the holomorphic line bundle $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(S_Y)$. This action on $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(S_Y)$ shall be denoted by δ.

A *logarithmic connection* on a holomorphic vector bundle $E \longrightarrow Y$ singular over S_Y is a holomorphic differential operator

$$D \,:\, E \longrightarrow E \otimes K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(S_Y)$$

satisfying the Leibniz identity, which says that $D(fs) = f \cdot ds + s \otimes (df)$, where f is any locally defined holomorphic function on Y and s is any locally defined holomorphic section of E. The singular locus of a logarithmic connection D singular over S_Y is contained in S_Y.

Assume that $(E\,,\rho)$ is Γ–equivariant. A logarithmic connection D on E is called Γ–*equivariant* if the action ρ preserves D, meaning

$$D(\rho(\gamma)(s)) = (\rho(\gamma) \otimes \delta(\gamma))(D(s))$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and all locally defined holomorphic section s of E, where δ is the action defined earlier (if s is defined over $U \subset Y$, then the section $\rho(\gamma)(s)$ is defined over $\gamma(U)$).

The singular locus of a Γ–equivariant logarithmic connection is clearly preserved by the action of Γ.

Define

$$X := Y/\Gamma\,. \tag{2.2}$$

So X is a compact connected Riemann surface. Let

$$\phi : Y \longrightarrow X \tag{2.3}$$

be the quotient map. This ϕ is a Galois covering with Galois group Γ. Let

$$R_Y \subset Y \tag{2.4}$$

be the subset with nontrivial isotropy for the tautological action of Γ on Y. The map ϕ in (2.3) fails to be étale precisely over

$$R_X := \phi(R_Y) \subset X\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Define

$$S_X := \phi(S_Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta := S_X \cup R_X \subset X\,. \tag{2.6}$$

We have $S_X \bigcap R_X = \emptyset$, because the action of Γ on S_Y is free.

Given a Γ–equivariant holomorphic vector bundle on Y, there is a canonically associated parabolic vector bundle of same rank over X with parabolic structure over R_X [Bi2, Section 2c]. Let E be a Γ–equivariant vector bundle on Y, and let V_* be the parabolic vector bundle on X associated to E. If V is the holomorphic vector bundle on X underlying the parabolic vector bundle V_*, then

$$V = (\phi_* E)^\Gamma \subset \phi_* E\,, \tag{2.7}$$

where $(\phi_* E)^\Gamma$ is the invariant part for the natural action of the Galois group Γ on $\phi_* E$ (see [Bi2, p. 310, (2.9)]).

For each point $y \in Y$, let

$$\Gamma_y := \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma(y) = y\} \subset \Gamma$$

be the isotropy subgroup. The order of Γ_y depends only on $x = \phi(y)$ and shall be denoted by

$$n_x := \#\Gamma_y\,. \tag{2.8}$$

The parabolic structure on V then is given by the decreasing, left continuous filtration $\{V_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$V_t = (\phi_*(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\sum_{x\in R_X} \lfloor -tn_x \rfloor \phi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}})))^\Gamma\,, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\phi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}}$ is the set–theoretic inverse image of x (the reduced inverse image) (see [Bi2, p. 310, (2.9)]); here $\lfloor Z \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of Z and the action of Γ on $\mathcal{O}_Y(k \cdot \phi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}})$ is the natural one (note that $\phi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}}$ is invariant under Γ). We say that $\alpha \in [0,1)$ is a jump for this filtration if $V_{\alpha+\varepsilon} \neq V_\alpha$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. There is a finite number of such jumps, which we shall denote by

$$0 \leq \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_\ell < 1 .$$

These will be the parabolic weights associated to the quasiparabolic filtration

$$V = V_{\alpha_0} \supset V_{\alpha_1} \supset \cdots \supset V_{\alpha_\ell} \supset V_1 = V \otimes \mathcal{O}(-R_X) .$$

By taking the quotient by $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-R_X)$, we can associate, for each point $x \in R_X$, a filtration (with corresponding weights) by sub-vector spaces of the fiber V_x:

$$V_x = V_x^0 \supset V_x^1 \supset \cdots \supset V_x^{\ell_x} \supset V_x^{\ell_x+1} = 0 .$$

Take any $y \in R_Y$, and denote $\phi(y)$ by x. Let χ_0 be the character of Γ_y given by the tautological action of Γ_y on T_yY. Consider the action of Γ_y on E_y given by ρ. From (2.9) it follows that

$$E_y = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell_x} W_i,$$

where W_i is the isotypical component of E_y for the character $\chi_0^{n_x\alpha_i}$, *i.e.*

$$W_i = \{v \in E_y \mid \rho(\gamma)(v) = \chi_0^{n_x\alpha_i}(\gamma) \cdot v \ \forall \ \gamma \in \Gamma_y\} .$$

Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$V_x^i/V_x^{i+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} W_i \otimes (K_Y|_y)^{\otimes n_x\alpha_i} . \tag{2.10}$$

for each $i \in [0, \ell_x]$ (the fiber $\mathcal{O}_Y(-\{y\})|_y$ is canonically identified to $K_Y|_y$ by the Poincaré adjunction formula).

The holomorphic cotangent bundle of X will be denoted by K_X.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(E\,, \rho\,, D)$ be a Γ–equivariant logarithmic connection on Y. Let V be the holomorphic vector bundle on X underlying the parabolic vector bundle associated to E. Then D induces a logarithmic connection*

$$V \xrightarrow{D'} V \otimes K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)$$

on V, where Δ is defined in (2.6).

Proof. We first observe that $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(S_Y)$ is a subsheaf of $\phi^*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta))$ using the differential $d\phi : \phi^*K_X \longrightarrow K_Y$ of ϕ. Indeed, this follows from the fact that for any point $x \in X$, the differential $d\phi$ identifies $\phi^*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(x))$ with $K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(\phi^{-1}(x)_{\rm red})$, where $\phi^{-1}(x)_{\rm red}$ as before is the set–theoretic inverse image of x. Consider the composition

$$E \xrightarrow{D} E \otimes K_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(S_Y) \hookrightarrow E \otimes \phi^*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)) .$$

Taking direct image of both sides, and using the projection formula, we have

$$\phi_*E \longrightarrow \phi_*(E \otimes \phi^*(K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta))) = (\phi_*E) \otimes K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta) .$$

It is straight–forward to check that this homomorphism satisfies the Leibniz identity, hence it is a logarithmic connection on ϕ_*E. Let $\widetilde{D}$ denote this logarithmic connection on ϕ_*E.

Since the action ρ of Γ on E preserves the logarithmic connection D, from the above construction of $\widetilde{D}$ it follows immediately that $\widetilde{D}$ commutes with the natural action of the Galois group Γ on $\phi_* E$. Therefore, $\widetilde{D}$ induces a logarithmic connection D' on the invariant part $(\phi_* E)^\Gamma$. Now the lemma follows from the isomorphism in (2.7). $\square$

Since the tautological action of Γ on Y is faithful, and Γ is a finite group, it follows that the isotropy subgroup Γ_y is a finite cyclic group. In fact, the action of Γ_y on the holomorphic tangent line $T_y Y$ is faithful. We have $\Gamma_y = \{e\}$ for all $y \in Y \setminus R_Y$. In particular, $\Gamma_y = \{e\}$ for all $y \in S_Y$. Let $\Gamma_y^\vee$ denote the group of characters of Γ_y.

As before, let $(E\,,\rho\,,D)$ be a Γ–equivariant logarithmic connection on Y. For any $y \in Y$, let

$$E_y \,=\, \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma_y^\vee} E_y^\chi \tag{2.11}$$

be the isotypical decomposition for the action of Γ_y on the fiber E_y given by ρ. Here $E_y^\chi \,=\, \{v \in E_y \mid \rho(\gamma)(v) \,=\, \chi(\gamma)\cdot v \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Gamma_y\}$. It should be clarified that E_y^χ may be zero for some character χ. Let

$$\mathrm{Res}(D\,,y) \,\in\, \mathrm{End}(E_y) \,=\, E_y \otimes E_y^\vee$$

be the residue of D at $y \in S_Y$.

Let V_* be the parabolic vector bundle, with parabolic structure over R_X, associated to E. As before, the holomorphic vector bundle underlying V_* will be denoted by V. Take any point $x \in R_X$. Let

$$0 \,=\, V_x^{\ell_x+1} \,\subset\, V_x^{\ell_x} \,\subset\, \cdots \,\subset\, V_x^1 \,\subset\, V_x^0 \,=\, V_x \tag{2.12}$$

be the quasiparabolic filtration for V_* at the point x. Take any point $y \in \phi^{-1}(x)$, and let n_x be as in (2.8). Let χ_0 be the character of Γ_y given by the action of Γ_y on the tangent line $T_y Y$. If m_i/n_x, $0 \leq i \leq \ell_x$, is the parabolic weight of the subspace $V_x^i \subset V_x$ in (2.12), then V_x^i/V_x^{i+1} is canonically identified with

$$E_y^{\chi_0^{m_i}} \otimes (K_Y\vert_y)^{\otimes m_i}\,,$$

where $E_y^{\chi_0^{m_i}} \subset E_y$ is the direct summand in (2.11) (see (2.10)). This implies that

$$\mathrm{End}(V_x^i/V_x^{i+1}) \,=\, \mathrm{End}(E_y^{\chi_0^{m_i}})\,.$$

Proposition 2.2. *Take a point $x \in R_X$. Let $\mathrm{Res}(D'\,,x)$ be the residue, at x, of the logarithmic connection D' on V given by D (see Lemma 2.1). Then there is a complete splitting of the filtration in (2.12) satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *each direct summand in the complete splitting is preserved by $\mathrm{Res}(D'\,,x)$, in particular, $\mathrm{Res}(D'\,,x)$ produces an endomorphism of each sub-quotient V_x^i/V_x^{i+1}, $0 \leq i \leq \ell_x$, in (2.12), and*
2. *the restriction of $\mathrm{Res}(D'\,,x)$ to the direct summand of V_x corresponding to V_x^i/V_x^{i+1} is $\frac{m_i}{n_x}\mathrm{Id}$ (recall that m_i/n_x is the parabolic weight of V_x^i/V_x^{i+1}, where $n_x \,=\, \#\Gamma_x$).*

Proof. Take any point $y \in \phi^{-1}(x)$. Since $x \in R_X$, the connection D is nonsingular in a neighborhood of y. Consider the decomposition

$$E_y = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma_y^\vee} E_y^\chi$$

in (2.11). Since D is a flat connection on E over $Y \setminus S_Y$, taking parallel translations for D, this decomposition produces a holomorphic decomposition

$$E\vert_U = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma_y^\vee} F^\chi \tag{2.13}$$

over a sufficiently small contractible analytic neighborhood $U \subset Y$ of the point y such that

1. $\gamma(U) = U$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_y$, and
2. the natural map $U/\Gamma_y \longrightarrow X$ defined by ϕ is injective.

Such a neighborhood can be found because in some suitable coordinate charts near $y \in Y$ and $x \in X$, the restriction of ϕ is given by $z \longmapsto z^{n_x}$.

The above defined F^χ is the unique flat subbundle of $E\vert_U$ such that $F_y^\chi = E_y^\chi$; it is easy to check that F^χ is preserved under the action of Γ_y on $E\vert_U$. It should be clarified that the decomposition of $E\vert_U$ in (2.13) does not depend on anything other than the connection D.

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma_y$, the subbundle $\rho(\gamma\gamma_1)(F^\chi)$ of $E\vert_{\gamma\gamma_1(U)}$ coincides with the subbundle $\rho(\gamma)(F^\chi)$, where ρ is the action of Γ on E (note that $\gamma\gamma_1(U) = \gamma(U)$). The decomposition in (2.13) produces a holomorphic decomposition

$$E\vert_{\phi^{-1}(\phi(U))} = E\vert_{\Gamma(U)} = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma_y^*} \Gamma(F^\chi) \tag{2.14}$$

of the vector bundle. The action of Γ on E clearly preserves $\Gamma(F^\chi)$. It is straightforward to check that the decomposition in (2.14) is independent of the choice of the point $y \in \phi^{-1}(x)$. In view of (2.7), the decomposition in (2.14) produces a holomorphic decomposition

$$V_*\vert_{\phi(U)} = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma_y^*} W_*^\chi \tag{2.15}$$

of the parabolic vector bundle over $\phi(U)$; here W_*^χ is the parabolic vector bundle on $\phi(U)$ corresponding to the Γ–equivariant vector bundle $\Gamma(F^\chi) \longrightarrow \phi^{-1}(\phi(U))$.

Let W_x^χ be the fiber, over x, of the holomorphic vector bundle underlying the parabolic vector bundle W_*^χ in (2.15). We recall that the group Γ_y acts on the fiber $F^\chi\vert_y = E_y^\chi$ as scalar multiplications through the character χ. This implies that the quasiparabolic filtration of the fiber W_x^χ is the trivial filtration

$$0 \subset W_x^\chi .$$

In other words, W_*^χ has at most one parabolic weight at x. Recall that W_*^χ can be of rank zero for some χ; if the rank of W_*^χ is positive, then there is exactly one parabolic weight of W^χ_* at x. If W^χ_* is of positive rank, then the parabolic weight of W^χ_* at x is

$$u/n_x\,, \tag{2.16}$$

where n_x is defined in (2.8), and $u \in [0\,, n_x-1]$ is determined by the identity $\chi_0^u = \chi$ (as before, χ_0 is the character given by the action of Γ_x on T_yY).

The decomposition of $V_*|_{\phi(U)}$ in (2.15) yields a complete splitting

$$V_x = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\Gamma_y^*} W^\chi_x \tag{2.17}$$

of the filtration in (2.12).

The decomposition in (2.14) is preserved by the connection D. Therefore, each W^χ_* in (2.15) is preserved by the connection $D'|_{\phi(U)}$ on $V|_{\phi(U)}$ (see Lemma 2.1 for D'). Consequently, the residue $\text{Res}(D'\,,x)$ preserves the subspace $W^\chi_x \subset V_x$ for each χ.

To prove the final statement of the proposition, we consider a local model of ϕ. Let

$$\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{C} \tag{2.18}$$

be the open unit disk, and let

$$f_n : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}\,,\ \ n \geq 2, \tag{2.19}$$

be the holomorphic map defined by $z \longmapsto z^n$. So the Galois group of f_n is $\text{Gal}(f_n) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the trivial holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ over $\mathbb{D}$. Fix an integer $m \in [0\,, n-1]$ and consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ where the generator $1 \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acts as $(z\,,\lambda) \longmapsto (z\cdot\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)\,, \lambda\cdot\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot m/n))$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Let

$$((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}})^{\text{Gal}(f_n)} \longrightarrow f_n(\mathbb{D})$$

be the holomorphic line bundle given by the invariant part of the direct image. The holomorphic connection D on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ given by the de Rham differential $\beta \longmapsto d\beta$, being invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(f_n)$, produces a logarithmic connection on $((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}})^{\text{Gal}(f_n)}$ singular over $0 \in f_n(\mathbb{D})$. It is straight-forward to check that the residue of this logarithmic connection at 0 is m/n: If e is the constant function 1 on $\mathbb{D}$, then $(e, z\cdot e, \ldots, z^{n-1}\cdot e)$ is a basis of the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$–module $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$. We have

$$(f_n)_*D(z^ke) = kz^k\cdot e\otimes \mathrm{d}z/z = k/n\cdot z^k\cdot e\otimes \mathrm{d}x/x$$

with $x = z^n$, for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Now Γ acts on the sections of $(f_n)_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}})$ as $(z, z^k\cdot e) \longmapsto (z\cdot\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n)\,, \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\cdot(k-m)/n)z^k\cdot e)$. The invariant section of $(f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ thus is $z^k\cdot e$ with $k = m$, and the residue of the resulting connection on $((f_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}})^\Gamma$ at 0 is m/n.

Using this local model, from (2.9) it follows immediately that the restriction of the residue $\text{Res}(D'\,,x)$ to the direct summand W^χ_x in (2.17) is $\lambda_\chi\cdot\text{Id}$, where λ_χ is the parabolic weight of W^χ_* at x. Recall that there is exactly one parabolic weight if the rank of W^χ_* is positive; the parabolic weight is described in (2.16). □

2.2. **Equivariant connections from parabolic connections.** Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. Fix finite subsets

$$R_X \subset \Delta \subset X\,.$$

Let V be a parabolic vector bundle over X with parabolic structure over R_X such that all the parabolic weights are rational numbers. For any point $x \in R_X$, let

$$0 = V_x^{\ell_x+1} \subset V_x^{\ell_x} \subset \cdots \subset V_x^1 \subset V_x^0 = V_x \tag{2.20}$$

be the quasiparabolic filtration at x. Let

$$1 > \alpha_x^{\ell_x} > \cdots > \alpha_x^1 > \alpha_x^0 \geq 0 \tag{2.21}$$

be the corresponding parabolic weights. We have $\alpha_x^i \in \mathbb{Q}$ by our assumption.

Let

$$D'\,:\,V \longrightarrow V \otimes K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)$$

be a logarithmic connection on V satisfying the following two conditions:

(1) for each $x \in R_X$, there is a complete splitting

$$V_x = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell_x} W_x^i \tag{2.22}$$

of the filtration in (2.20) such that the residue $\text{Res}(D'\,,x)$ preserves each subspace W_x^i, and

(2) the restriction of $\text{Res}(D'\,,x)$ to each W_x^i is multiplication by the weight α_x^i in (2.21).

Fix a positive integer N such that $N \cdot \alpha_x^i$ is an integer for all $x \in R_X$ and $i \in [0\,,\ell_x]$.

There is a finite (ramified) Galois covering

$$\phi\,:\,Y \longrightarrow X \tag{2.23}$$

such that

- at each point $y \in \phi^{-1}(R_X)$, the map ϕ is ramified with ramification index $N-1$ (so ϕ is like $z \longmapsto z^N$ around each point of $\phi^{-1}(R_X)$), and
- ϕ is unramified over each point of $\Delta \setminus R_X$.

(See [Na, p. 29, Theorem 1.2.15] for the existence of such a covering.) In the special case where $\text{genus}(X) = 0 = \#R_X - 1$, the map ϕ is necessarily ramified over a point of $X \setminus \Delta$. In all other cases however, the covering map ϕ can be chosen to be unramified over $X \setminus R_X$ [Na, p. 29, Theorem 1.2.15]. Define

$$S_X := \Delta \setminus R_X.$$

Let

$$\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\phi)$$

be the Galois group for the covering ϕ. There is a Γ–equivariant vector bundle $(E\, ,\rho)$ on Y canonically associated to V_* such that the parabolic vector bundle corresponding to $(E\, ,\rho)$ is V_*. (See [Bi2, Section 3].)

The restriction of E to the complement $Y\setminus \phi^{-1}(R_X)$ is the pullback $\phi^*(V\vert_{X\setminus R_X})$ (see [Bi2, p. 313, (3.3)] and the definition of W in [Bi2, p. 313]). Since $R_X\, \subset\, \Delta$, this implies that the nonsingular connection $D'\vert_{X\setminus\Delta}$ on $V\vert_{X\setminus\Delta}$ pulls back to a nonsingular connection D'' on $E\vert_{Y\setminus\phi^{-1}(\Delta)}\,=\,(\phi^*V)\vert_{Y\setminus\phi^{-1}(\Delta)}$.

Proposition 2.3. *The nonsingular connection D'' on $E\vert_{Y\setminus\phi^{-1}(\Delta)}$ extends to a logarithmic connection*

$$E\,\longrightarrow\, E\otimes K_Y\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(\phi^{-1}(S_X)_{\rm red})\, .$$

Proof. Let ϕ^*D' be the pulled back logarithmic connection on ϕ^*V whose singularity is contained in $\phi^{-1}(\Delta)$. The line bundle

$$\phi^*\mathcal{O}_X(R_X)\,=\,\mathcal{O}_Y(N\cdot \phi^{-1}(R_X)_{\rm red})$$

has a canonical logarithmic connection defined by the de Rham differential $\beta\,\longmapsto\, d\beta$; this connection is singular over $\phi^{-1}(R_X)_{\rm red}$. Therefore, D'' produces a logarithmic connection

$$\overline{D}''\,:\,\phi^*(V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(R_X))\,\longrightarrow\,\phi^*(V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(R_X))\otimes K_Y\otimes\mathcal{O}_Y(\phi^{-1}(\Delta)_{\rm red})\, .$$

The vector bundle $\phi^*(V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(R_X))$ has a tautological action of Γ because it is the pullback of a vector bundle on $Y/\Gamma\,=\,X$. The Γ–equivariant vector bundle $(E\, ,\rho)$ corresponding to V_* is the intersection of certain Γ–invariant subsheaves of $\phi^*(V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(R_X))$ (see [Bi2, p. 313, (3.3)] and the definition of W in [Bi2, p. 313]). In other words, $(E\, ,\rho)$ is of the form

$$E\,=\,\bigcap_j F_j\,\subset\,\phi^*(V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(R_X))\, ,$$

where each F_j is a Γ–invariant subsheaf of $\phi^*(V\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(R_X))$.

Since ${\rm Res}(D'\, ,x)$ preserves each subspace W^i_x, it follows that ${\rm Res}(D'\, ,x)$ preserves the quasiparabolic filtration in (2.20). Also, ${\rm Res}(D'\, ,x)$ acts on each W^i_x in (2.22) as multiplication by a constant scalar. From these it follows that each F_j is preserved by the logarithmic connection $\overline{D}''$ (see [Bi2, p. 313, (3.3)]). Hence the connection $\overline{D}''$ on $E\vert_{Y\setminus\phi^{-1}(\Delta)}$ defined earlier induces the logarithmic connection $D=\overline{D}''\vert_E$ on E; the singular locus of D is contained in $\phi^{-1}(\Delta)$.

To complete the proof, we need to show that D is nonsingular in a neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(R_X)$. For this we will use a local model of ϕ.

Let $\mathbb{D}$ and f_n be as in (2.18) and (2.19) respectively. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over $f_n(\mathbb{D})$, and let

$$D_L\,:\, L\,\longrightarrow\, L\otimes K_{\mathbb{D}}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}(0)$$

be a logarithmic connection with residue m/n at $0\,\in\, f_n(\mathbb{D})$, where m is some integer. Then $f^*_nD_L$ is a logarithmic connection on f^*_nL singular at 0, and the residue of this connection at $0\,\in\,\mathbb{D}$ is m. Consider the holomorphic line bundle $(f^*_nL)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}(m'\cdot\{0\})$.

The logarithmic connection $f_n^* D_L$ on $f_n^* L$, and the logarithmic connection on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}(m' \cdot \{0\})$ given by the de Rham differential, together define a logarithmic connection $\widetilde{D}$ on $(f_n^* L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}(m' \cdot \{0\})$. Since the residue of the de Rham connection on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}(m' \cdot \{0\})$ at 0 is $-m'$, and the residue of $f_n^* D_L$ at 0 is m, it follows that the residue of $\widetilde{D}$ at 0 is $m - m'$. In particular, $\widetilde{D}$ is a nonsingular connection if $m = m'$.

Using these facts repeatedly, it is straight-forward to deduce that the logarithmic connection D on E is nonsingular in a neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}(R_X)$. $\square$

3. Action of finite order line bundles

3.1. **Fixed points of the action.** Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. If E is a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on X, and L is a holomorphic line bundle on X, such that $E \otimes L$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E, then taking top exterior products we see that $(\bigwedge^r E) \otimes L^{\otimes r}$ is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^r E$, implying that the line bundle $L^{\otimes r}$ is holomorphically trivial.

Let L be a nontrivial holomorphic line bundle on X such that $L^{\otimes r}$ is holomorphically trivial. Fix a holomorphic isomorphism of $L^{\otimes r}$ with $\mathcal{O}_X$. Then there is a unique holomorphic connection D_L on L such that the above isomorphism takes the connection on $L^{\otimes r}$ induced by D_L to the de Rham connection on $\mathcal{O}_X$ defined by $\beta \longmapsto d\beta$. Since any two isomorphisms between $L^{\otimes r}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$ differ by multiplication with a nonzero constant, the connection D_L is independent of the choice of the isomorphism between $L^{\otimes r}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Let n be the order of L. So $n > 1$, and it is a divisor of r. Fix a holomorphic isomorphism between $L^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$.

Let s be the nonzero holomorphic section of $L^{\otimes n}$ given by the constant function 1 using the above isomorphism between $L^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X$. Define

$$Y_L := \{z \in L \mid z^{\otimes n} \in \text{image}(s)\} \subset L\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Let

$$\varphi : Y_L \longrightarrow X \tag{3.2}$$

be the restriction of the natural projection $L \longrightarrow X$. The curve Y_L is irreducible because the order of L is n.

Since φ is étale, if $(V\,, D_V)$ is a logarithmic connection on Y_L singular over $S \subset Y$, then D_V induces a logarithmic connection on $\varphi_* V$ singular over $\varphi(S)$. This induced logarithmic connection on $\varphi_* V$ will be denoted by $\varphi_* D_V$.

Theorem 3.1. *Take a pair $(E\,, D)$, where E is a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r on X and D is a logarithmic connection on E, such that the connection $(E \otimes L\,, D \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L)$ is isomorphic to $(E\,, D)$. Then there is a vector bundle V on Y_L of rank r/n, and a logarithmic connection D_V on V, such that $(\varphi_* V\,, \varphi_* D_V)$ is isomorphic to $(E\,, D)$.*

Proof. Since the holomorphic vector bundle $E \otimes L$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E, there is a holomorphic vector bundle $V \longrightarrow Y_L$ such that $\varphi_* V = E$ [BP, p. 499, Lemma 2.1]. We will briefly recall the construction of V.

Fix a holomorphic isomorphism

$$H : E \longrightarrow E \otimes L \tag{3.3}$$

such that the composition

$$E \xrightarrow{H} E \otimes L \xrightarrow{H \otimes Id_L} E \otimes L^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{H \otimes Id_{L^{\otimes 2}}} \cdots \xrightarrow{H \otimes Id_{L^{\otimes (n-1)}}} E \otimes L^{\otimes n}$$

is the identity map with respect to the trivialization of $L^{\otimes n}$. (Since the group $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ is divisible, such an isomorphism exists.)

Consider the holomorphic vector bundle $\varphi^* E$ on Y_L, where φ is the projection in (3.2). Let

$$V \subset \varphi^* E \tag{3.4}$$

be the holomorphic subbundle such that for any $y \in Y_L$, the subspace $V_y \subset (\varphi^* E)_y = E_{\varphi(y)}$ coincides with the kernel of the homomorphism

$$H - \mathrm{Id}_{E_{\varphi(y)}} \otimes y : E_{\varphi(y)} \longrightarrow E_{\varphi(y)} \otimes L_{\varphi(y)} = (E \otimes L)_{\varphi(y)} \tag{3.5}$$

(note that $y \in L_{\varphi(y)}$). The direct image $\varphi_* V$ is canonically identified with E (see [BP, p. 499, Lemma 2.1]).

Now assume that the isomorphism H in (3.3) takes the logarithmic connection D on E to the logarithmic connection $D \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L$ on $E \otimes L$. Such an automorphism exists because the group of all automorphisms of E preserving D is divisible.

Consider the logarithmic connection $\varphi^* D$ on $\varphi^* E$. We will show that the subbundle V in (3.4) is preserved by $\varphi^* D$.

Let $0_X \subset L$ be the image of the zero section of L. We note that the pullback of L to the total space of L has a tautological section, and this section vanishes exactly on 0_X. Since $Y_L \subset L \setminus 0_X$, we get a tautological nowhere vanishing section

$$\sigma \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y_L, \varphi^* L) . \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\varphi^* D_L$ be the *holomorphic* connection on $\varphi^* L$ obtained by pulling back the connection D_L on L.

We will show that the section σ in (3.6) is flat with respect to the connection $\varphi^* D_L$. For this, consider the holomorphic section

$$\sigma^{\otimes n} \in \mathrm{H}^0(Y_L, (\varphi^* L)^{\otimes n}) = \mathrm{H}^0(Y_L, \varphi^*(L^{\otimes n})) .$$

This section is nonzero because σ is nonzero. On the other hand, $L^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Therefore, $\sigma^{\otimes n}$ is the pullback of a nonzero constant section of $L^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Recall that the connection D_L is uniquely determined by the condition that the induced connection on $L^{\otimes n}$ coincides with the trivial connection on $\mathcal{O}_X$ (given by the de Rham differential). Therefore, we conclude that σ is flat for the connection $\varphi^* D_L$.

The section σ sends any $y \in Y_L \subset L$ to y. Since σ is flat for the connection φ^*D_L, it follows immediately that the homomorphism

$$\theta : \varphi^*E \longrightarrow \varphi^*(E \otimes L)$$

defined by $v \longmapsto v \otimes y$, where $v \in (\varphi^*E)_y$, takes the logarithmic connection φ^*D to the pulled back logarithmic connection $\varphi^*(D \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L)$ on $\varphi^*(E \otimes L)$. The isomorphism φ^*H also takes φ^*D to $\varphi^*(D \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L)$, because H takes D to $D \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L$. Therefore, $\varphi^*H - \theta$ takes φ^*D to $\varphi^*(D \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L)$. Hence

$$\mathrm{kernel}(\varphi^*H - \theta) \subset \varphi^*E$$

is preserved by φ^*D. But $V = \mathrm{kernel}(\varphi^*H - \theta)$ (see (3.5)).

Consequently, φ^*D induces a logarithmic connection on V; we will denote this logarithmic connection on V by D_V. Since $\varphi_*V = E$, from the construction of D_V it follows immediately that $\varphi_*D_V = D$. $\square$

The converse of Theorem 3.1 is also true:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(V\,, D_V)$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r/n on Y_L equipped with a logarithmic connection D_V. Then the logarithmic connections $(\varphi_*V\,, \varphi_*D_V)$ and $((\varphi_*V) \otimes L\,, (\varphi_*D_V) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_{\varphi_*V} \otimes D_L)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. There is a natural holomorphic isomorphism of vector bundles $E \longrightarrow (\varphi_*V) \otimes L$ [BP, p. 499, Proposition 2.2(1)]. It is straight-forward to check that this isomorphism takes the logarithmic connection φ_*D_V to $(\varphi_*D_V) \otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_{\varphi_*V} \otimes D_L$. $\square$

3.2. **Action on the cohomology of the moduli spaces.** Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus at least two. Fix a point $x_0 \in X$. Fix an integer $r \geq 2$ together with an integer d coprime to r. Fix a holomorphic line bundle ξ on X of degree d. Fix a logarithmic connection D_ξ on ξ singular exactly over x_0. Since $\mathrm{degree}(\xi) + \mathrm{Res}(D_\xi, x_0) = 0$ [Oh, p. 16, Theorem 3], it follows that $\mathrm{Res}(D_\xi, x_0) = -d$.

Let $\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi)$ denote the moduli space of logarithmic connections $(E\,, D)$ on X satisfying the following conditions:

- $\mathrm{rank}(E) = r$,
- $\bigwedge^r E = \xi$,
- the connection D is singular exactly over x_0,
- $\mathrm{Res}(D, x_0) = -\frac{d}{r}\mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_0}}$, and
- the logarithmic connection on $\bigwedge^r E = \xi$ induced by D coincides with D_ξ.

(See [Ni], [Si2] for the construction of the moduli space.)

Take any $(E\,, D) \in \mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi)$. If F is a holomorphic subbundle of E of positive rank which is preserved by D, then

$$\mathrm{degree}(F) + \mathrm{trace}(\mathrm{Res}(D|_F\,, x_0)) = \mathrm{degree}(F) - \frac{d}{r}\mathrm{rank}(F) = 0$$

[Oh, p. 16, Theorem 3]. Since d is coprime to r, this implies that $r = \operatorname{rank}(F)$. Hence the logarithmic connection $(E\,,D)$ is stable. Therefore, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi)$ is smooth.

Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over X such that $L^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{O}_X$. Let D_L be the holomorphic connection on L constructed in Section 3.1. Let

$$\Phi\,:\,\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi) \tag{3.7}$$

be the automorphism defined by $(E\,,D) \longmapsto (E\otimes L\,, D\otimes \mathrm{Id}_L + \mathrm{Id}_E \otimes D_L)$.

Proposition 3.3. *The homomorphism* $\Phi^*\,:\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi),\,\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi),\,\mathbb{Q})$ *induced by* Φ *is the identity map.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi)$ be the moduli space of Higgs bundles $(V\,,\theta)$ over X such that $\operatorname{rank}(V) = r$, $\bigwedge^r V = \xi$, and $\operatorname{trace}(\theta) = 0$. The moduli space $\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi)$ is canonically diffeomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi)$ (but this diffeomorphism is not holomorphic) [Si3].

The flat connection D_L has finite monodromy group because the connection on $L^{\otimes n} = \mathcal{O}_X$ induced by D_L has trivial monodromy. In particular, the connection D_L is unitary. Therefore, the Higgs line bundle corresponding to the flat line bundle $(L\,,D_L)$ is the holomorphic line bundle L equipped with the zero Higgs field.

The holomorphic line bundle L equipped with the zero Higgs field produces an automorphism

$$\Phi_H\,:\,\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi)$$

defined by $(V\,,\theta) \longmapsto (V\otimes L\,,\theta\otimes \mathrm{Id}_L)$. The correspondence between flat connections and Higgs bundles is compatible with the operation of taking tensor products; see [Si1, p. 15]. Consequently, the following diagram of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi) \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\wr"] & \mathcal{M}(r, D_\xi) \arrow[d, "\wr"] \\
\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi) \arrow[r, "\Phi_H"] & \mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.8}$$

is commutative.

There is a universal vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over $X \times \mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi)$ because d is coprime to r. The cohomology algebra $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi),\,\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the Künneth components of the Chern classes $c_i(\mathcal{E})$, $i \geq 0$ [Ma, p. 73, Theorem 7], [HT, p. 641, (6.1)]. Since L is of finite order, we have $c_1(L) = 0$. Hence

$$c_i(\mathcal{E}) = c_i(\mathcal{E}\otimes p_X^* L),\ \ \forall\, i \geq 0\,,$$

where p_X is the projection of $X \times \mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi)$ to X. Therefore, the automorphism

$$\Phi_H^*\,:\,\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi),\,\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi),\,\mathbb{Q})$$

induced by Φ_H is the identity map on the above mentioned generators of $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathcal{M}_H(r,\xi),\,\mathbb{Q})$. Hence Φ_H^* is the identity map. Now the proposition follows from the commutativity of the diagram in (3.8). ☐

4. A CRITERION FOR ADMITTING A LOGARITHMIC CONNECTION

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. Fix a finite subset

$$\Delta = \{x_1, \cdots, x_n\} \subset X .$$

For each point $x_i \in \Delta$, fix $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle on X. A holomorphic vector bundle F on X of positive rank is called a *direct summand* of E if there is a holomorphic vector bundle F' of positive rank such that $F \oplus F'$ is holomorphically isomorphic to E. The vector bundle E is called *indecomposable* if E does not have any direct summand.

If $n = 0$, the following proposition coincides with the Atiyah–Weil criterion for the existence of a holomorphic connection on E, [At], [We].

Proposition 4.1. *There is a logarithmic connection D on E singular over Δ with residue*

$$\mathrm{Res}(D, x_i) = \lambda_i \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_i}}$$

for every $x_i \in \Delta$ if and only if the following two conditions hold:

1. $\mathrm{degree}(E) + \mathrm{rank}(E) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$*, and*
2. $\mathrm{degree}(F) + \mathrm{rank}(F) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$ *for any direct summand F of E.*

Remark 4.2. If all λ_i are rational numbers, then Proposition 4.1 is a special case of the main theorem in [Bi1].

Proof. First assume that there is a logarithmic connection D on E singular over Δ with

$$\mathrm{Res}(D, x_i) = \lambda_i \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_i}}$$

for all $x_i \in \Delta$. From [Oh, p. 16, Theorem 3] we conclude that $\mathrm{degree}(E) + \mathrm{rank}(E) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$.

Let F be a direct summand of E. Fixing a complement F', and a holomorphic isomorphism of $F \oplus F'$ with E, let

$$\iota : F \longrightarrow E \quad \text{and} \quad p : E \longrightarrow F$$

be the inclusion and projection respectively. The composition

$$F \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{D} E \otimes K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta) \xrightarrow{p \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)}} F \otimes K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)$$

is a logarithmic connection on F singular over Δ with residue $\lambda_i \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{F_{x_i}}$ for each $x_i \in \Delta$. Therefore, from [Oh, p. 16, Theorem 3] we conclude that $\mathrm{degree}(F) + \mathrm{rank}(F) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$.

To prove the converse, we will construct a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{A}(E)$ on X associated to E using $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

For any analytic open subset $U \subset X$, consider all pairs of the form (h_U, D_U), where h_U is a holomorphic function on U, and

$$D_U : E|_U \longrightarrow (E \otimes K_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(\Delta))|_U$$

is a holomorphic differential operator satisfying the identity

$$D_U(f\cdot s) \,=\, f\cdot D_U(s)+h_U\cdot d(f)\otimes s\,,$$

where f is any locally defined holomorphic function on X and s is any locally defined holomorphic section of E. The above identity implies that the order of D_U is at most one; the order of D_U is one if and only if h_U is not identically zero. By the Poincaré adjunction formula, the fiber of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)$ over any $x_i\,\in\,\Delta$ is identified to the tangent space $T_{x_i}X$. Therefore, the fiber of $K_X\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta)$ over any $x_i\,\in\,\Delta$ is canonically identified with $\mathbb{C}$. For any $(h_U\,,D_U)$ as above, and any $x_i\,\in\,\Delta\cap U$, the composition

$$E\vert_U \,\xrightarrow{D_U}\, (E\otimes K_X\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(\Delta))\vert_U \,\xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{x_i}}\, E_{x_i}\,, \tag{4.1}$$

where ev_{x_i} is the evaluation at x_i, is $\mathcal{O}_U$–linear. Let $\mathcal{A}(E)\vert_U$ be the space of all pairs $(h_U\,,D_U)$ of the above type such that the composition in (4.1) is multiplication by $h_U(x_i)\cdot\lambda_i$ for all $x_i\,\in\,\Delta\cap U$. This $\mathcal{A}(E)\vert_U$ is an $\mathcal{O}_U$–module. Indeed,

$$f\cdot(h_U\,,D_U)\,=\,(f\cdot h_U\,,f\cdot D_U)\,.$$

It is straight-forward to check that the map $U\,\longmapsto\,\mathcal{A}(E)\vert_U$ defines a torsionfree coherent analytic sheaf on X. The holomorphic vector bundle on X defined by this torsionfree coherent analytic sheaf will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}(E)$. Take any $(h_U\,,D_U)\,\in\,\mathcal{A}(E)\vert_U$. If $h_U\,=\,0$, then D_U is evidently a holomorphic section of $\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes K_X$ over U. Hence $\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes K_X$ is a subbundle of $\mathcal{A}(E)$. Therefore, we have a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X

$$0\,\longrightarrow\,\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes K_X\,\longrightarrow\,\mathcal{A}(E)\,\xrightarrow{\nu}\,\mathcal{O}_X\,\longrightarrow\,0\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where ν sends any $(h_U\,,D_U)$ to h_U.

It now suffices to prove that this exact sequence splits. Indeed, it is straight-forward to see that a logarithmic connection D on E singular over Δ with residue

$$\mathrm{Res}(D,x_i)\,=\,\lambda_i\cdot\mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_i}}$$

for all $x_i\,\in\,\Delta$ is a homomorphism of holomorphic vector bundles

$$D\,:\,\mathcal{O}_X\,\longrightarrow\,\mathcal{A}(E)$$

such that $\nu\circ D\,=\,\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$, where ν is the homomorphism in (4.2).

The vector bundle E is a direct sum of indecomposable vector bundles. Therefore, it is enough to prove the converse under the assumption that E is indecomposable. So assume that E is indecomposable.

The obstruction to a holomorphic splitting of the exact sequence in (4.2) is a cohomology class

$$\theta\,\in\,\mathrm{H}^1(X,\,\mathrm{End}(E)\otimes K_X)\,=\,\mathrm{H}^0(X,\,\mathrm{End}(E))^* \tag{4.3}$$

(Serre duality).

Since E is indecomposable, all holomorphic endomorphisms of E are of the form $c \cdot \mathrm{Id}_E + N$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and N is a nilpotent endomorphism [At, p. 201, Proposition 16]. It can be shown that

$$\theta(\mathrm{Id}_E) \,=\, 0 \tag{4.4}$$

(see [At, p. 202, Proposition 18(i)]). To prove (4.4), consider the line bundle $\mathcal{L} := \bigwedge^{\mathrm{rank}(E)} E$. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$ be the vector bundle on X constructed exactly as $\mathcal{A}(E)$ by replacing E by $\mathcal{L}$ and replacing each λ_i by $\mathrm{rank}(E) \cdot \lambda_i$. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow K_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

be the exact sequence constructed as in (4.2), and let

$$\theta_0 \,\in\, \mathrm{H}^1(X,\, K_X) \,=\, \mathrm{H}^0(X,\, \mathcal{O}_X)^* \,=\, \mathbb{C}$$

be the obstruction to its splitting (as defined in (4.3)). Then,

$$\theta(\mathrm{Id}_E) \,=\, \theta_0(1)\,. \tag{4.5}$$

Since $\mathrm{degree}(E) + \mathrm{rank}(E) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \,=\, 0$, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has a logarithmic connection D_0 singular over Δ with residue

$$\mathrm{Res}(D_0, x_i) \,=\, \mathrm{rank}(E) \cdot \lambda_i$$

for every $x_i \,\in\, \Delta$. But this implies that $\theta_0 \,=\, 0$. Hence (4.4) follows from (4.5).

The proof of Proposition 18(ii) in [At] yields that $\theta(N) \,=\, 0$ for any nilpotent endomorphism of E. Hence $\theta \,=\, 0$. Therefore, the short exact sequence in (4.2) splits holomorphically. Consequently, E admits a logarithmic connection D singular over Δ such that $\mathrm{Res}(D, x_i) \,=\, \lambda_i \cdot \mathrm{Id}_{E_{x_i}}$ for all $x_i \,\in\, \Delta$. ☐

References

[At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.

[Bi1] I. Biswas, A criterion for the existence of a flat connection on a parabolic vector bundle, *Adv. Geom.* **2** (2002), 231–241.

[Bi2] I. Biswas, Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles, *Duke Math. Jour.* **88** (1997), 305–325.

[BP] I. Biswas and M. Poddar, Chen–Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces, II, *Internat. Jour. Math.* **21** (2010), 597–522.

[HT] T. Hausel and M. Thaddeus, Generators for the cohomology ring of the moduli space of rank 2 Higgs bundles, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **88** (2004), 632–658.

[Ma] E. Markman, Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces, *Jour. Reine Angew. Math.* **544** (2002), 61–82.

[Na] M. Namba, *Branched coverings and algebraic functions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Vol. 161, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow, 1987.

[Ni] N. Nitsure, Moduli of semistable logarithmic connections, *Jour. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 597–609.

[Oh] M. Ohtsuki, A residue formula for Chern classes associated with logarithmic connections, *Tokyo Jour. Math.* **5** (1982), 13–21.

[Si1] C. T. Simpson, Higgs bundles and local systems, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **75** (1992), 5–95.

[Si2] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **79** (1994), 47–129.

[Si3] C. T. Simpson, Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. II, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **80** (1994) 5–79.

[We] A. Weil, Generalisation des fonctions abeliennes, *Jour. Math. Pure Appl.* **17** (1938), 47–87.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400005, India

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

IRMA, UMR 7501, 7 rue René-Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France

E-mail address: `heu@math.unistra.fr`